Ċ	ase 2:08-cv-03573-MRP -JEM Document 296 #:7395	Filed 07/27/10 Page 1 of 4 Page ID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Mark A. Pals, P.C. (pro hac vice) mpals@kirkland.com Marcus E. Sernel (pro hac vice) msernel@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 (312)862-2000 (o); (312)862-2200 (f) Daralyn J. Durie (SBN 169825) ddurie@durietangri.com Mark Lemley (SBN 155830) mlemley@durietangri.com DURIE TANGRI LLP	David I. Gindler (SBN 117824) dgindler@irell.com Joseph M. Lipner (SBN 155735) jlipner@irell.com IRELL & MANELLA, LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310)277-1010 (o); (310)203-7199 (f) Attorneys for CITY OF HOPE
8	DURIE TANGRI LLP 217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111 (415)362-6666 (o); (415)236-6300 (f)	IL 27 PH AL DISTRIC CS ANGELIA
10	Attorneys for GENENTECH, INC.	2000 E:
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION	
12	WESTERN	DIVISION
13	CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.,	Case No. CV 08-03573 MRP (CTx)
14	Plaintiff,	TI II
2	,	The Honorable Mariana R. Pfaelzer
15 16	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE,	PROOF OF SERVICE
	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF	
16	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE,	
16 17	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Defendants.	
16 17 18	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF	
16 17 18 19	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Counter-Plaintiffs v.	
16 17 18 19 20	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Counter-Plaintiffs v. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.,	
16 17 18 19 20 21	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Counter-Plaintiffs v.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	v. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. GENENTECH, INC. AND CITY OF HOPE, Counter-Plaintiffs v. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC., Counter-Defendant	

26

27

28

Third-party Defendants.

ORIGINAL

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Amy Palafox am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is

333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On July 27, 2010, I served a true copy of the following document(s):

- 1. Opposition By Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope To Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Willful Infringement (Motion No. 1).
- 2. Statement of Genuine Issues in Support of Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Opposition to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Willful Infringement (Motion No. 1).
- 3. Opposition of Genentech, Inc. & City of Hope to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motion for Construction of Claim Term "Immunoglobulin" (Motion No. 2).
- 4. Cross Motion for Summary Judgment Adjudication and Opposition of Genentech, Inc. & City of Hope to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement of Claim 33 (Motion No. 3).
- 5. Statement of Genuine Issues in Support of Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Opposition to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement of Claim 33 (Motion No. 3).
- 6. Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Cross Motion for Summary Adjudication.
- 7. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope's Opposition to Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112 (Motion No. 4).
- 8. Response of Defendants Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope to Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law In Support Of Centocor's Motion for

Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112 (Motion No. 4).

- 9. Opposition by Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of Anticipation (Motion No. 5).
- 10. Statement of Genuine Issues In Support of Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Opposition to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of Anticipation (Motion No. 5).
- 11. Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope's Opposition to Centocor Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment That Claim 33 Is Invalid for Failure to Disclose The Best Mode (Motion No. 6).
- 12. Response of Defendants Genentech, Inc. and City of Hope to Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law In Support Of Centocor's Motion for Summary Judgment That Claim 33 Is Invalid for Failure to Disclose the Best Mode (Motion No. 6).
- 13. Declaration of Mark A. Pals In Support of Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Cross Motion for Summary Adjudication and Opposition to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motions for Summary Judgment and Claim Construction (Nos. 1-6) ("Pals Declaration").
- 14. Exhibits B-M, O-R, W, Z-BB, EE-JJ, LL-NN, QQ-TT, and WW to the Pals Declaration.
- 15. Application to File Documents Under Seal in Support of Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Cross Motion for Summary Adjudication and Opposition to Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s Motion (Nos. 1-6)
- 16.[Proposed] Order Granting Genentech, Inc.'s and City of Hope's Application to File Documents Under Seal.
 - 17. Proof of Service